United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.		ORDER OF DETENTION
		PENDING TRIAL
VVIIIIS	Albert Brock, Jr.	Case Number: 1:06-mj-00680
facts re	In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. equire the detention of the defendant pending trial in	. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following this case.
	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense desoffense state or local offense that would he jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S. an offense for which the maximum sente	
	 in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comp (2) The offense described in finding (1) was com or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elap imprisonment for the offense described in find (4) Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebu 	fendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described barable state or local offenses. Imitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state used since the date of conviction release of the defendant from ding (1). Ittable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will urson(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not
	(1) There is probable cause to believe that the de	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumpt	ent of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act tion established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions defendant as required and the safety of the community.
\boxtimes	(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will	ernate Findings (B) not appear. endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Written Sta	atement of Reasons for Detention
	I find that the credible testimony and information s	submitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence that
	fendant does not contest remaining in custody at this cumstances change.	s time, but reserves the right to request to reopen the detention issue should his
appeal. the Uni	The defendant is committed to the custody of the ions facility separate, to the extent practicable, from . The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable oppited States or on request of an attorney for the Gove	Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending portunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of ernment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
Septer	mber 11, 2006	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
Date	_	Signature of Judge
		Ellen S. Carmody, United States Magistrate Judge
		Name and Title of Judge